#### RESOLUTION NO. 83-89

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE CITY OF LODI'S 1983-1984 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT CLAIM FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND (LTF) AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA)

RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby approve the City of Lodi's 1983-84

Transportation Development Act Claim for Local

Transportation Fund (LTF) and State Transit Assistance

(STA), a copy of which is attached hereto, marked Exhibit A, and thereby made a part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby direct the City Manager to execute the subject document on behalf of the City.

Dated: August 17, 1983

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 83-89 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a regular meeting held August 17, 1983 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Reid, Snider, Murphy,

Pinkerton & Olson (Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - None

Alice M. Reimche

City Clerk

### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO: San Joaquin County Council of Governments 1860 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, CA 95205
FROM: Applicant: City of Lodi
Address: P.O. Box 320, Lodi, CA 95241 (City, Zip)
Contact Person: Jack L. Ronsko Phone: 334-5634
The City of Lodi hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 and applicable rules and regulations that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of \$ 491,974 for fiscal year 1983-84 , to be drawn from the local transportation fund.
When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.
The claimant certifies that this Local Transportation Fund claim and the financial information contained therein, is reasonable and accurate to the best of my knowledge, and that the aforementioned information indicates the eligibility of this claimant for funds for the fiscal year of the application pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and 6734.
APPROVED: Applicant City of Lodi
APPROVED:  San Joaquin County Council of  Governments  Applicant City of Lodi  By Wury M. Wents
By Title City Manager
PETER D. VERDOORN  Title Executive Director  Date 8/17 19 83
Date19

# STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO: San Joaquin County Council 1860 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, CA 95205	of Governments
FROM: Applicant:City of Lodi	
Address (City, Zip):p	.0. Box 320, Lodi, CA 95241
Contact Person, Phone: _J	erry Glenn
Public Utilities Code, hereby re 1400, Statutes of 1971 as amende tions, that an allocation be mad fiscal year 1983-84 to be drawn f	rom the State Transit Assistance for the following purposes and in
Purposes	Amounts
Dial-a-ride	\$ 78,149
claimant are subject to such mor	ent by the County Auditor to this nies being on hand and available for tons that such monies will be used as of the approved claim.
and the financial information co accurate to the best of my knowl information indicates the eligib	s Local Transportation Fund Claim ontained herein, is reasonable and ledge, and that the aforementioned oility of this claimant for funds for ton pursuant to CAC Section 6634 and
APPROVED:	Applicant City of Lodi
San Joaquin County Council of Governments	By Seuryll. Hures
Ву	TitleCity Manager
PETER D. VERDOORN	Date 19
Title Executive Director	
Date 19	

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I.	Local Transportation Fund Available Apportion	onment
	A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 476,064
	B. Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	9,918
	C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	5,992
	D. Unexpended Carryover	1,196,132
	Total Available for 1983-84 Claim	\$ 1,688,106
II.	State Transit Assistance Fund Available Appo	ortionment
	A. Area Apportionment (83-84)	\$ 76,943
	B. Special Transit Apportionment (83-84)	1,206
	C. Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	
	D. Unexpended Carryover	8,282
-	Total Available for 1983-84 Claim	\$ 86,431

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

Cla	im Purpose	I, LTF	II. STA*
I.	Public Transportation		
	Article 4 (99260) - Operator	65,519	86,431
	Article 8 (99400(c)) - Contractor		
II.	Pedestrian and Bicycle	A	
	Article 3 (99234)		
	Article 8 (99400(a))	9,918	
III.	Roads and Streets		
	Article 8 (99400(a))	1,612,669	
IV.	Other		
	Article 8 (99400(b))		
	Total Claimed	1,688,106	86,431
	Total Available Apportionment	1,688,106	86,431
	Total Claimed	_ 1,688,106	86,431
	Unclaimed Apportionment (1983-84)	= 0	0

 $<sup>\</sup>star$ This will automatically be classified as Article 6.5 (99313.3) for purposes of the Act.

Article 4 Operator

Article 8 Contractor

# FINANCIAL INFORMATION

I.	OPERATING REVENUE	1982-83 ⊠^Actual/Estimate⊠	1983-84 Budget
401	Passenger Fares	30,518	30,400
402	Special Transit Fares		,
403	School Bus Service Revenues		
404	Freight Tariffs		
405	Charter Service Revenues (Woodbridge)	1,892	3,850
406	Auxilliary Transportation Revenues		
407	Non-Transportation Revenues Interest		
408	Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)		
409	Local Cash Grants and Reimbursements (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF	44,000	65,519
410	Local Special Fare Assistance		
411	State Cash Grants and Reimbursements (Specify) State Transit Assist. Fund (ST	'A) 109,465	86,431
412	State Special Fare Assistance		
413	Federal Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) UMTA Grants		
430	Contributed Services		
440	(Specify)		
	TOTAL	185,875	186,200
II.	CAPITAL REVENUE		
464	Federal Capital Grants & Subsidies (Specify)		
	State Capital Grants & Subventions (Specify) State Transit Assist. Funds (S	TA)	
	Local Capital Provisions (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)		
	Non-Governmental Donations		···
	TOTAL		
		<del>-</del>	

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES		1982-83 Actual/Estimates	1983-84 Budget
			Actual/Estimates	pudget
501	Labor			
	Operators Salaries/Wages Other Salaries/Wages		360	1,000
502	Fringe Benefits		185	500
503	Services		105	- 500
504	Materials/Supplies			
. 504	Fuels/Lubricants			
	Tires/Tubes			
	Other		739	
505	Utilities			
506	Casualty/Liability Costs		6,000	6,000
507	Taxes	,		
508	Purchased Transportation Serv	ice	170,309	177,700
509	Miscellaneous Expenses			1,000
510	Expense Transfers			
511	Interest Expense			
512	Leases and Rentals			
513	Depreciation/Amortization			
<del></del>	Operator Funds Grant Funds			·
		TOTAL	177,593	186,200
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES			
	Debt Service			
	Land/Property Acquistion			
	Vehicles			
	Construction			
	Other			
		TOTAL		
		-0.11111		

### OPERATIONAL INFORMATION\*

		Actual FY 1981-82	Actual FY 1982-83	Proposed FY 1983-84
1.	Patronage			
	a. Total Passengers		56,386	59,050
	b. Revenue Passengers	-	787	950
	c. Youth Passengers			
	d. Elderly Passengers ε Handica	oped	55,599	58,100
	e. Handicapped Passengers		-	<del></del>
2.	Vehicle Miles			
	a. Total Vehicle Miles		97,529	102,405
	b. Revenue Vehicle Miles		97,529	102,405
3.	Revenue Vehicle Hours	. <u> </u>	Unknown	10,000
4.	Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumpti	on		
	a. Diesel		68,542	7,197
	b. Gasoline		-	
-	c. Liquid natural Compressed — Gas	***************************************		
5.	Fare Structure		•	
	a. Base		2.00	2.00
	b. Zone			
	c. Youth			<del></del>
	d. Senior	****	0.50	0.50
	e. Handicapped		0.50	0.50
	f. Monthly Pass			
	g. Other			
	h. Average Fare		0.53	0.53

<sup>\*</sup>Attach additional pages as necessary: to alter or complete description

		1984-85	1985-86	1986-87
Operating Expenses		\$ 186,200	\$ 195,510	\$ 205,285
Operating	Revenues			
Sources:	LTF	\$ 61,950	\$ 69,510	\$ 88,285
	STA	90,000	90,000	79,000
	Federal			
	Fares	34,250	36,000	38,000
	General Fund			
	Other			
Total		\$ 186,200	\$ 195,510	\$ 205,285

Capital Expenses		\$	\$	\$ 11,000
Capital Re	evenue			
Sources:	LTF	\$	\$	\$
•	STA			11,000
	Federal			
	Other			
Total		\$	\$	\$ 11,000

**4** ~

	Production	# of	Fuel	Seat	Spe	cial	Fea	tures
Make & Model	Year	Veh.	Type	Capacity	AC	EP	WC	tures Other
Chevrolet Station Wagon	1982	6	diesel	6	X			
·		e						
						-		
		·						
	•							
		·						
·		·						
-								
TOTAL	xxxxxxxxx	6	XXXXXX		6			
	Vehic	les to	be Pur	chased in	FY _	86-87		¥
Station wagon		1		6	х			

AC = Air Conditioned

EP = Environmental Package

WC = Wheel Chair Lift

### Article 4 Operator TDA Requirements

1.	F	`are	Ratio	Requirement
7	T,	`~~^	Patio	Peguirement
ϫ.	, r	are	Natio	nequil emeric

- A. For an operator serving a non-urbanized area and providing exclusive service to the elderly and handicapped, the ratio of fare revenues to operating cost (minus depreciation) must equal at least 10%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
- B. For an operator serving an urbanized area, the ratio of fare revenues to operating cost (minus depreciation) must equal at least 20%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
  - i. What is this system's required farebox recovery ratio? 10%
  - ii. Does the attached budget demonstrate that the system will meet its required farebox recovery ratio? Yes
  - iii. Has the system utilized its grace year? \_\_\_\_\_\_

#### 2. Local Support Ratio - Same as above

- A. For an operator serving a non-urbanized area, and/or providing exclusive service to the elderly and handicapped, the ratio of fare revenue plus local support (local taxes, general fund, etc. 6611.3) to operating cost, (minus depreciation) must equal at least 10%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
- B. For an operator serving an urbanized area, the ratio of fare revenues plus local support to operating cost must equal at least 20%, or the ratio the operator achieved in FY 1978/79, whichever is greater.
  - i. What is this system's required local support ratio?
  - ii. Does the attached budget demonstrate that the system will meet this required local support ratio?

	iii. Has this system utilized its grace year?
	<pre>iv. Has this system been in non-compliance with its required local support ratio?</pre>
*	If yes, Identify the year or years:
3.	Extension of Service
	An extension or new service is exempt from the required farebox and local support ratios if:
	A. The extension of service has been in operation for less than two years at the end of the fiscal year.
	B. The claimant's operating cost for the fiscal year, after excluding the operating cost of the extension of service, exceeds its operating cost for the prior fiscal year.
	C. The claimant submits a report on the extension of service to COG. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(c)).
	i. Is this a new service?
	ii. Is there an extension of service being claimed?
	If so, please identify the extension of service:
4.	Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase
	If any of the line items on the attached hadest asset las many

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be attached. See attached.

5. Narrative Description

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

4

### Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do and established a two-step process:

### 1. Match Requirement

To receive the same amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) that a service received in the previous year, no more than 90% of the operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the community as long as it is not TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish a specific service objective for the fiscal year of the claim. This specific objective would be the operating cost per passenger for the fiscal year of the claim. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The Transportation Planning Policy Committee will adopt the operating cost per passenger figure that a claimant must meet in the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet is operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the claim, then it would only be eligible to file a cliam for the level of TDA funding received in that fiscal year. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA funding in a future fiscal year, then it should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

i.	What was the level of TDA funding received in the previous fiscal year for this system (LTF and STA) \$			
ii.	Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds?			
iii.	Is this claim requesting more TDA funds then were received in the previous fiscal year?  If yes, how much more? \$			
iv.	What was last year's Operating Cost per Passenger Objective? What was the actual Operating Cost per Passenger?			

	Operating Cost (minus depreciation)	\$
	Total Passengers	
	Operating Cost per Passenger	\$
v.	What is the Operating Cost per Passen for this claim?	ger Objective
	Budgeted Operating Cost (minus depreciation)	\$
	Estimated Total Passengers	
	Operating Cost per Passenger	

3. Fifteen Percent Expenditure Increase

If any of the line items on the attached budget exceed by more than 15% the expenditure for that same item in the previous year's budget, then an explanation for that increase must be attached.

4. Narrative Description

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

# PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost Total Cost
		10001
		·
	* .	
	· · ·	
<u>.</u>		
•		
	·	
·		
·		
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND		•
	Project Timite	STA Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
•		
•		
i ·	_ 18 _	

### PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost Total Cost
<pre>** Ham Lane (R/W construction)    Ham Lane Median * Stockton Street</pre>	Lodi-Elm Century-Kettleman Kettleman-Lodi	\$ 165,000 54,000
R/W Acquisition	·	50,000
Utility Relocation		245,000
Hutchins Street	* Vine-Tokay	129,000
	* Kettleman-Rimby (C&R/W)	55,000
	Tokay-Lodi	
	R/W Acquisition	6,000
* Hutchins Street Median	Construction	220,000
* Turner Road	Harney-Vineyard	10,000
Downtown Improvement District	1000' W/Cluff-Cluff   Downtown Area	57,000
Pine-School Signal Modification,	Downtown Area	
Sacramento Street CG&S, Inspection and other miscellaneous related work.		100,000
Railroad Grade Crossing.Approach Improvements (SPRR)		95,000
Ham Lane \$ 6,000 Tokay Street 12,000 Lodi Avenue 14,000		
Pine Street 22,000 Elm Street 12.000	1	
Elm Street 12,000 Locust Street 16,000		
Lockeford Street 13,000		
Lower Sacramento Road Widening Street Maintenance Administration	Elm Street North	70,000 52,000 5,000
* Work-in-Progress     ** Primary Bicycle Route		

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

### STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost
		•
		•
•		
	- 19- (Use Additional Pa	age if Necessary)

### PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

There is the possibility that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed to subsidize Amtrak service in a community. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.

### PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

### LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	LTF Cost Total Cost
Miscellaneous Widening		\$ 50,000
*Miscellaneous Overlay		80,000
*Miscellaneous Curb & Gutter		71,000
Miscellaneous Subdivision Paving		15,000
Miscellaneous Traffic Appurtenance Upgra	de	10,000
Traffic Signals		
* Pine and Cherokee (upgrade)  * Lockeford and Cherokee (new)  * Victor and Cherokee (upgrade)  * Ham and Lockeford (new)  * Lodi Avenue Signal System Modificatio  * Church Street Signal System Modificat  * Pine and Sacramento  * Other Miscellaneous New Signal  Railroad Grade Crossing Protection  * Turner Road @ Mills  * Loma Drive  * California Street  * Cherokee Lane  * Washington Street		90,000 110,000 90,000 80,000 10,000 70,000 80,000 14,500 8,000 9,200 9,000 7,000
Calaveras Street	Lockeford - 200' S/Murray	30,000
Curb, Gutter & Paving @ Post Office	School & Walnut	31,000
<pre>* Work-in-progress ** Primary bicycle route</pre>	Total	\$1,622,587 \$2,187,700

(Use Additional Page if Necessary)

### STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost
•		
	19.1 (Use Additional	Page if Necessary)

### Attachment for #4, Page 15 of Claim

Expenditures for labor and fringe benefits during the '82-'83 fiscal year amounted to only \$545; however, we had budgeted \$2,000 for these expenses in the '82-'83 claim. We had also estimated our miscellaneous expenses to be \$2,000 in '82-'83, but nothing was charged to that line item during last fiscal year. This year we expect to print brochures, which cost will be charged to that account, and we have budgeted \$1,000 for the '83-'84 fiscal year.